1	DOUGLAS Y. CHRISTIAN (admitted pro hac vice)	
2	MARC J. WEINSTEIN (admitted pro hac vice) BALLARD SPAHR ANDREWS & INGERSOLL, LLP	
3	1735 Market Street, 51st Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599	
	Telephone: (215) 665-8500	
4	Facsimile: (215) 864-8999 E-mail: ChristianD@BalardSpahr.com; WeinsteinM@ballardspahr.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
6	MUTUAL HOLDINGS (BERMUDA) LIMITED	
7	MARK JOSEPH KENNEY (State Bar No. 87345) MAUREEN A. RODGERS (State Bar No. 245876)	
8		
9	One Embarcadero Ĉenter, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111	
10		
11		
12	Attorneys for Defendant	
13	SINCLAIR-DWYER & COMPANY, INC.	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
16		
17	MUTUAL HOLDINGS (BERMUDA)	Case No.: C07-04505 JL
18	LIMITED,	
19	Plaintiff,	JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT
20	vs.	Conference Date: December 19, 2007 Time: 10:30 a.m.
21	SINCLAIR-DWYER & COMPANY, INC.,	Time. 10.50 a.m.
22	Defendant.	
23 24	In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), counsel for Plaintiff, Mutual	
	Holdings (Bermuda) Limited ("Mutual Holdings") and Defendant, Sinclair-Dwyer & Co., Inc.	
25		
26	("Sinclair-Dwyer) met and conferred on November 14, 2007, and submit the following report of	
27	their meeting for the Court's consideration:	
28	0.500 1/2010 1/2010 1	
	06204/0018/649838.1	Case No.: C07-04595 JL, Joint Rule 26(f) Repor

1. Discussion of Claims, Defenses and Relevant Issues:

A. Plaintiff's Claims

On April 2, 2007, the Supreme Court of Bermuda entered a final judgment against defendant, Sinclair-Dwyer & Company, Inc., in an action brought by plaintiff, Mutual Holdings (Bermuda) Limited. On August 30, 2007, plaintiff filed the instant action in the Northern District of California to obtain a judgment recognizing the conclusiveness and enforceability of the Bermuda Judgment pursuant to the Uniform Foreign Money-Judgments Recognition Act, as adopted by California and codified at Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §§ 1713, et seq. Plaintiff asserts that the Bermuda Judgment meets the criteria for recognition and enforcement under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §§ 1713, et seq.

Defendant, in its Answer, denies the validity and enforceability of the Bermuda Judgment against it and asserts statutory, procedural and common law defenses in its Answer. Defendant did not file a counterclaim.

B. Defendant's Claims

Mutual Holdings seeks an order from the Court recognizing the validity and enforceability of a judgment, entered in favor of Mutual Holdings in Bermuda, under the Uniform Foreign Money-Judgments Recognition Act, as adopted by California and codified at Cal. Civ. Proc. Code section 1713, *et seq.*, or in the alternative, under principles of international comity.

Sinclair-Dwyer denied each of the plaintiff's allegations regarding the validity and enforceability of the Bermuda judgment, and asserted several affirmative defenses in its answer. Specifically, defendant maintains that the judgment is not enforceable under Cal. Civ. Pro. Code section 1713.4(a)¹ because, among other things: the Bermuda court lacked personal and subject matter jurisdiction; the judgment was rendered under a system without procedures ensuring due process of law; and that enforcement of the judgment would directly contravene California's law

¹ Defendant also maintains that the Court should exercise its discretion and not enforce the Bermuda judgment under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code Section 1713.4(b) and 1713.7.

and policies. Defendant also lacks sufficient information to admit or deny that diversity of citizenship exists between the parties, and thus, questions the Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of the lawsuit.

C. Relevant Issues

The parties convened a Rule 26 Conference by telephone on November 14, 2007.

Defendant's counsel, Mr. Kenney, started the conference call by informing plaintiff's counsel that his client, Sinclair-Dwyer & Company, Inc., will be filing a petition for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the first week of December, 2007. Mr. Kenney represented that his client's decision to file for bankruptcy protection is a certainty. The parties agreed that, in light of Mr. Kenney's announcement, it did not make sense to engage in further litigation planning immediately.

2. Initial Disclosures:

Rule 26 Disclosure Statements have been not been exchanged in anticipation of the defendant's impending bankruptcy filing.

3. Formal Discovery:

The parties agreed that there was no immediate need to discuss a discovery plan in light of Mr. Kenney's representation that the defendant will file for bankruptcy protection within the next 20 days.

4. Early Settlement or Resolution:

Although the parties agreed to Early Neutral Evaluation in accordance with the ADR Local Rules and filed the appropriate certifications with the Court, it appears unlikely that the parties will engage in Early Neutral Evaluation before the defendant files for bankruptcy protection.

5. Trial Date:

The parties agree that the defendant's impending bankruptcy filing will remove or postpones the need for a trial date in this case.

6. **Certification of Electronic Signature Authority:**

Plaintiff's counsel, Marc J. Weinstein, hereby attests that Defendant's counsel, Maureen

A. Rodgers, authorized the use of her electronic signature on this Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

5

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

/s/ Maureen A. Rodgers Mark Joseph Kenney, Esquire Maureen A. Rodgers, Esquire

Severson & Werson

One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600

San Francisco, CA 94111

Attorneys for Defendant, Sinclair-Dwyer & Company, Inc.

Dated: November 28, 2007

/s/ Marc J. Weinstein

Douglas Y. Christian, Esquire

Marc J. Weinstein, Esquire Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP 1735 Market Street, 51st Floor

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Mutual Holdings (Bermuda) Limited

24 25

26

27 28

Case No.: C07-04595 JL, Joint Rule 26(f) Report